Biopesticide for controlling *Citrus limon* (L.) Burm f. (Assam lemon) pests and diseases

Miniswrang Borgoyary¹, Hayen Machahary¹, Nutai Gwra Narzary², Pforeni Jane Sapruna¹ and Mohesh Gogoi^{3*}

¹Department of Zoology, Institutional Biotech Hub, Science College, Kokrajhar, BTR, Assam

²Department of Computer Science & IT, Science College, Kokrajhar, BTR, Assam

³Department of Botany, Institutional Biotech Hub, Science College, Kokrajhar, BTR, Assam

Corresponding author : mohesh.gogoi@rediffmail.com

Received: March 9, 2025; revised: April 25, 2025; accepted: May 3, 2025

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15393038

Published Online: May 25, 2025

Abstract: A biopesticide has been developed from *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss, *Polygonum glabrum* Willd., *Cyclosorus parasiticus* (L.) Farw., *Curcuma longa* L., *Capsicum annum* L. and cow urine to control insects and pests infestation on *Citrus limon* (L.) Burm f. (Assam lemon) grown at Kokrajhar district, BTR, Assam. Those five number of locally available medicinal plants selected for pesticide preparation were ground collectively into a fine paste using a mixer grinder along with distilled water. The paste was then sieved with a muslin cloth and squeezed to extract the solvent and diluted up to 200 ml. The resulting filtrate constitutes 50% stock solution later diluted into 1%, 5% and 10% and each solution has been mixed with 200 ml of cow urine before spray. The strength of aqueous extracts were evaluated by foliar spray on plants and their efficacy were determined for controlling the pests and diseases. Meanwhile four pest infested lemon trees were selected and tagged S₁, S₂, and S₄. Plant tagged as S₁, S₂, and S₃ were considered for applying biopesticide @ 1%, 5% and 10% while S₄ treated as a control plant. The said biopesticide of three different concentrations were sprayed once in a week from January 2024 to March 2025 with a hand sprayer (2L capacity). The biopesticide @10% (S₃) concentration showed the best result with reduction of *Dialeurodes citri* (white fly), *Anoplophora versteegi* (trunk borer), *Phyllocnistis citrella* (leaf miner), *Diaphorina citri* (Citrus psylla) and other insect population as compared to the lemon plants- S₁, S₂ and S₄.

Key words: Biopesticide, Citrus limon (L.) Burm f., Disease, Insect, Pest and IPM.

Introduction:

Citrus limon (L.) Burm f. (Assam lemon) is an indigenous citrus fruit of Assam belonging to the family- Rutaceae (Bhattacharya & Dutta, 1956; Gogoi, 2021). The agro-climatic conditions of Kokrajhar district as well as Assam is suitable to grow lemon at commercial scale (Gogoi *et al.*, 2024). However, insect and pest infestation is a serious issue that is lowering the productivity as a whole. Therefore, a study has been

undertaken to identify the lemon diseases and develop an effective biopesticide for controlling the same. Production of citrus crop is adversely affected by harmful insects and pests, which cause severe economic loss to the lemon cultivators. They are generally dependent on synthetic pesticides to control the plants from damage caused by insects (Rahman *et al.*, 2007). The constituent biochemicals of synthetic pesticides have been attributed to chronic human ailments either due to consumption or exposure (Kumari et al., 2014). On the other hand, excessive use of chemical pesticide creates many serious threats such as elimination of beneficial predators and insects, depletion in soil microbial diversity, resistance among the pests and diseases, deposition of toxic residues etc. (Gupta et al., 1997). Meanwhile, management of pests without using harmful chemicals to human health and the environment is possible only by using biopesticides (Chandler et al., 2011). It has been described by Dubey (2014) and Lengai et al. (2020) that biopesticide is prepared from naturally occurring substances or extracted from plant and animal species that exhibit pesticidal properties. On the other hand, biopesticides are environmentally less harmful than synthetic pesticides to control pests and they possess one or more useful properties, such as biodegradability, a broad spectrum of activity and ability to reduce insect resistance. According to Chaudhari (2014), synergistic effect due to the mixing of different plant species plays a key role in controlling pests. Preference has been given to the use of leaf, fruit, rhizome and stem from different plant species that have tested earlier by IBH, Science College, Kokrajhar during the preparation of biopesticide to manage lemon pests and diseases. Needless to mention that a large array of medicinal plant varieties grow naturally in Kokrajhar district out of that, 25 species have been initially considered for testing and finally five plant species have been selected to develop the biopesticide. The same has been applied in three different concentrations along with equal volume of cow urine on selected lemon tree and the findings are enumerated in the result and discussion section.

Materials & Methods Experimental site

The agro-climatic conditions of Kokrajhar districtis suitable to grow lemon varieties but insects and pests attack at vegetative stage when new leaves are developed as well as flowering and fruiting stage which ultimately decrease lemon productivity as a whole. Aiming to develop an effective biopesticide to control the lemon diseases, a thorough study



Fig. 1. Map showing the study area.

was conducted in Kokrajhar district during 2023-2025 for identification of the most prevalent lemon diseases in lemon orchards and their causal organisms that causes disease. Finally a study site (Fig. 1) was selected at Chandamari village (Lat: 26.424100N Long: 90.252649E) of Kokrajhar district for observation of the efficacy of biopesticide through foliar spray systematically.

Method of biopesticide formulation, dilution and spray

Plant species used to develop biopesticide were identified with the help of taxonomic literature including relevant book (Jain, 1968 and Sharma, 2009). Altogether five plant species viz. Azadirachta indica A. Juss, Polygonum glabrum Willd., Cyclosorus parasiticus (L.) Farw., Curcuma longa L., Capsicum annum L. have been selected on the basis of antioxidant availability as well as insecticidal properties found in leaf, stem, rhizome and fruit (Table-1) followed by collection, washing and weighing 20g of each plant parts in an electronic balance. The samples were ground collectively into a fine paste using a mixer grinder along with 200 ml of distilled water following the method of Chaudhari (1914) and Rehman et al. (2020). The paste was then sieved with a muslin cloth and squeezed to extract the liquid, while solid residue has been discarded. The final volume of the extract was made 200ml by adding distilled water. The aqueous extract harvested finally constitutes a 50% concentration, which was stored in a sterilized beaker as stock (Fig. 2). Further dilution of 1%, 5% and 10% has

Table. 1. List of	plant species a	and their parts use	d with cow urine for	biopesticide preparation.
-------------------	-----------------	---------------------	----------------------	---------------------------

S1.	Vernacular name	Botanical name	Family	Plant part/ liquid	Pesticidal quality
No.				byproduct of animal used	
1	Nim bilai (Bodo), Nim pat (Assamese) and	Azadirachta indica A.	Meliaceae	Leaf	The leaf and seed has
Neen	Neem or Margosa (English)	Juss.			insecticidal, nematicidal,
					fungicidal and bactericidal
					property. (Schmutterer, 1995)
2	Bisongali (Bodo), Bihlongani (Assamese)	Polygonum glabrum	Polygonaceae	Leaf	Plant possesses high
	and Dense flower knotgrass (English)	Willd.			antimicrobial property. (Raja
					and Ramaya, 2016)
3	Saldaokhumwi (Bodo), Bihdhekiya	Cyclosorus parasiticus	Thelypteridaceae	Leaf	Flavanoids present in the plant
	(Assamese) and Parasitic maiden fern	(L.) Farw.			are toxic to pests, microbes and
	(English)				viruses. (Gunawan et al., 2016)
4	Haldwi (Bodo), Haldhi (Assamese) and	Curcuma longa L.	Zingiberaceae	Rhizome	Turmeric has insecticidal and
Turme	Turmeric (English)				anti-feedant property. (Saxena
					& Sayyed, 2018)
5	Banlu (Bodo), Jolokia (Assamese) and Red	Capsicum annum L.	Solanaceae	Fruit	Red chili contains capsaicin
	chili (English)				which is an insecticide. (Koleva-
					Gudeva <i>et al.,</i> 2013)
6	Mwswo Hasudwi (Bodo), Gomutra			Cow urine	Cow urine possesses antifungal
	Assamese) and Cow urine (English)				and antibacterial property.
					(Kgasudi & Mantswe, 2020)

been prepared from the stock adding distilled water and mixed with 200 ml of cow urine with each extract. Prior to the formulation of biopesticide, four pest infested lemon tree were selected and tagged S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , and S_4 . Lemon tree S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 were considered for biopesticide application of three different concentrations, while S_4 was treated as a control plant without any application. The pesticide efficacy was assessed through foliar spraying of biopesticide of three concentrations, where 1% applied on S_1 , 5% on S_2 and 10% on S_3 of three branches of each plant on the same date. Biopesticide was sprayed once in a week four times in a month from January, 2024 to March, 2025 with a hand sprayer (2L capacity). Data were recorded for the comparison of the population of insects before and after pesticide spray and presented in the result section.

Result

Field trials indicate a significant control in the lemon insects, pests and diseases. It was revealed that the mean variation (S_3) of curled leaf (16.3 ± 4.63), chewed leaf (16 ± 2.51), leaf

miner (11.3 ± 2.96), white fungus (12.6 ± 3.48), spider (5.33 ± 1.45), black spot on leaf (3.33 ± 0.66), white fly (8.66 ± 0.33), black fly (7 ± 2.88), black sooty mould (6.33 ± 1.20), trunk borer (1.66 ± 0.33), aphids (10 ± 2.64), caterpillar (0.66 ± 0.66) and gummosis (1 ± 0.57) disease before spray the biopesticide (Table-2 & Fig. 3). While mean variation (S_3) of curled leaf (1 ± 0.57), chewed leaf (4.66 ± 1.45), leaf miner (0 ± 0), white fungus (0.66 ± 0.33), spider (1 ± 0.57), black spot on leaf (0 ± 0), white fly (1.33 ± 1.33), black fly (0 ± 0), black sooty mould (0 ± 0), trunk borer (0 ± 0), aphids (0.33 ± 0.33), caterpillar (0 ± 0) and gummosis (0 ± 0) disease after spray the biopesticide (Table-3 & Fig. 4).

The said biopesticide was found to have deterrent action against *Diaphorina citri, Phyllocnistis citrella, Aleurocanthus woglumi, Dialeurodes citri, Papilio demoleus, Anoplophora versteegi* and other pests, gradually reduced the damage to lemon plants. On the other hand, a significant change in the percentage of disease infestation in S₂ and S₃ plants sprayed with 5% and 10% biopesticide observed while the untreated S₄ plant remains the same. The S₂ plant showed



Fig. 2: A. Leaf of *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss, B. Branch of *Polygonum glabrum* Willd., C. Frond of *Cyclosorus parasiticus* (L.) Farw., D. Rhizome of *Curcuma longa* L., E. Ripe fruit of *Capsicum annum* L., F. Aqueous extract (concentration-50%), G. Dilution at 10%, 5%, 1%, H. 1% pesticide applied on lemon plant, I. 5% pesticide applied on lemon plant and J. 10% pesticide applied on lemon plant.

Table. 2. Before treatment.



Fig. 3. Mean variation of pest and disease occurrence before spray with biopesticide.

highest infestation 57% chewed leaves before, reduced to 17% after spraying and in S₂ plant from 48% to 14%. Before spraying (Fig. 5), leaf miner infestation was observed 43% in S₂ plant which reduced to 5% and 30-38% white fungus infection reduced to 2-4% in treated plants. The spider webs also reduced to 3% when sprayed with 10% pesticide solution. The black sooty mould population has been wiped out in all treated lemon plants. Aphid population reduced to 1-3% after the spray. Initially, there was moderate infection by white fly and black fly & the same has also been decreased to 4% when sprayed with 10% pesticide solution. On the other hand, black sooty mould was around 16-20% across different samples but it was later observed between 0-2% infections. Another two harmful insects, trunk borer and caterpillar population were observed almost zero population when sprayed three rounds in the same concentration (10%). Gradual decrease of aphid population can be considered as another convincing indication of the biopesticide efficacy (Fig. 6). The biopesticide with @10% concentration was the best in terms of efficacy to

Sl. No.	PARAMETER	MEAN \pm SE (S1)	MEAN \pm SE (S2)	MEAN ± SE (S3)	MEAN ± SE (S4)
1	Curled leaf	14 ± 2.08	12.6 ± 2.33	16.3 ± 4.63	14.6 ± 2.72
2	Chewed leaf	18 ± 1.73	19 ± 1.15	16 ± 2.51	15.3 ± 0.88
3	Leaf miner infection	8.66 ± 0.88	14.3 ± 4.3	11.3 ± 2.96	8.33 ± 1.45
4	White fungus	10 ± 1.15	11.6 ± 1.76	12.6 ± 3.48	11 ± 2.08
5	Spider webs	9.33 ± 3.48	9 ± 2.51	5.33 ± 1.45	7 ± 2.88
6	Black spots on leaves	6.66 ± 1.66	6 ± 1	3.33 ± 0.66	4.33 ± 2.18
7	White fly	12.3 ± 2.02	12 ± 1.73	8.66 ± 0.33	11.6 ± 0.33
8	Black fly	9.33 ± 2.02	7 ± 2.08	7 ± 2.88	8 ± 1.52
9	Black sooty mould	5.66 ± 1.20	6.66 ± 1.20	6.33 ± 1.20	5.33 ± 2.40
10	Trunk borer	1 ± 1	1.33 ± 0.88	1.66 ± 0.33	1 ± 1
11	Aphids	7.66 ± 1.76	8 ± 1.52	10 ± 2.64	9 ± 1.52
12	Caterpillars	0.66 ± 0.66	1.66 ± 0.88	0.66 ± 0.66	1 ± 0.57
13	Gummosis	1.33 ± 0.88	0.66 ± 0.33	1 ± 0.57	1.33 ± 0.66

Sl. No.	PARAMETER	MEAN \pm SE (S1)	MEAN \pm SE (S2)	MEAN ± SE (S3)	MEAN \pm SE (S4)
1	Curled leaf	9.66 ± 2.60	3 ± 2.08	1 ± 0.57	14.66 ± 1.20
2	Chewed Leaf	7.66 ± 0.66	5.66 ± 2.02	4.66 ± 1.45	10.6 ± 2.6
3	Leaf miner infection	1.66 ± 1.20	0.33 ± 0.33	0 ± 0	5.66 ± 1.20
4	White fungus	1.33 ± 1.33	0.66 ± 0.33	0.66 ± 0.33	3.33 ± 0.88
5	Spider webs	4 ± 2	3 ± 0.57	1 ± 0.57	5.66 ± 1.20
6	Black spots on leaves	0.33 ± 0.33	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	2.66 ± 1.20
7	White fly	4 ± 0.57	3 ± 0.57	1.33 ± 1.33	6.33 ± 1.45
8	Black fly	2 ± 1	1.66 ± 0.88	0 ± 0	4.66 ± 1.45
9	Black sooty mould	0.33 ± 0.33	0.33 ± 0.33	0 ± 0	3 ± 0.57
10	Trunk borer	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0.33 ± 0.33
1	Aphids	1 ± 0.57	1 ± 0.57	0.33 ± 0.33	3.66 ± 0.88
12	Caterpillars	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
13	Gummosis	0.33 ± 0.33	0.33 ± 0.33	0 ± 0	0.66 ± 0.66

Table. 3. After treatment.



Fig. 4. Mean variation of pest and disease occurrence after spray with biopesticide.



Fig. 5. Data recorded before foliar spray.



Fig. 6. Data recorded after foliar spray.

control over *Dialeurodes citri* (white fly), *Anoplophora versteegi* (trunk borer), *Phyllocnistis citrella* (leaf miner), (*Diaphorina citri* (Citrus psylla) and other insect population as compared to 1% and 5% bioesticide.

Discussion

Being a host plant to many insects, the young leaf, branch and fruit of Citrus limon (L.) Burm f. (Assam lemon) is attacked by insects and pests resulting in reduced productivity as a whole. Technology intervention in citrus industry is necessary for increasing its production but organic cultivation is essential for ecosystem restoration (Cheremisinoff & Rosenfeld, 2010) by using biopesticide (Gullan & Cranston, 2014). Needless to mention that Geographical Indication (GI) has been awarded to Assam lemon in 2019 by RGI, Govt. of India and the Govt. of Assam has notified the Assam lemon as the state fruit of Assam on 13th February, 2024 (Gogoi et al., 2024). There is still limited research information on lemon cultivation as well as disease management. It is a demand of the hour to standardize integrated pest management method to control insects and pests attack on lemon plant through organic process. The use of biopesticide in lemon plants either biochemical or microbial pesticides have many advantages. The result shows positive effect against numerous insect populations as presented in Fig. 4 & Fig. 6. Earlier studies

have shown that plant active compounds are effective against various insect pests (Ingle et al., 2017), fungi (Salhi et al., 2017), bacteria (Chatterjee et al., 2007), nematodes (Neeraj et al., 2017) and viruses (Elbeshehy et al., 2015). The bioactive compounds that are present in plant extract are mainly secondary metabolites such as steroids, alkaloids, tannins, terpenes, phenols, flavonoids and resins that possess antifungal, antibacterial, antioxidant or insecticidal properties (Lengai et al., 2020). Among different locally available medicinal plants, Neem products alone can prevent many harmful insects and pests. Both leaves and fruit of neem plant are known to have bitter taste having fungicidal, insecticidal and nematicidal properties (Schmutterer, 1995). Similarly, Polygonum glabrum is another plant species which possess high antimicrobial property. The plant contains many flavonoids including diosmetin, rutin, hyperin and quercitrin, act as antimicrobial and defensive to pests and diseases (Raja and Ramaya, 2016). It has been reported that Cyclosorus parasiticus contains several secondary metabolites, among them are flavonoids and alkaloids found in the leaves (Tangavelou and Viswanathan, 2017). The flavonoids acts as defensive chemicals against pests, microbes, and viruses, whereas the secondary metabolite alkaloid served as toxins to protect plants from pests and diseases (Gunawan et al., 2016). The red chilli is used as a source of capsaicin that has repellent and insecticidal properties (Koleva-Gudevaet al., 2013). Research studies reveal that hot chili extract is effective in organic farming as a natural biopesticide and it has tremendous scope as sustainable alternative to synthetic pesticides. Similarly, Curcuma longa L. extracts have shown potential as repellents, insecticides, and anti-feedants on different insects. The extracts of Azadirachta indica and C. longa exhibited potent insecticidal and repellent effects on *C. maculates* (Saxena & Sayyed, 2018).

Plant extracts mixed with cattle urine has been studied to check their efficacy to protect crops from pests. Cow urine contains 95% water, 2.5% urea, and the remaining 2.5% a mixture of salts, hormones, enzymes, and minerals (Ramani, *et al.*, 2012). Many studies in India found that cow urine enhances soil fertility, growth of plant and control of insect pest and diseases. Cow urine ingredients are capable of treating many diseases as it has several medicinal properties and it is the best remedy to cure fungal and bacterial diseases (Kgasudi and Mantswe, 2020). A mixture of cow urine and water extract of neem leaves protected soybean crop from the pest (Purwar and Yadav, 2003). Various combinations of cow urine and plant parts and neem-based commercial products have shown significant synergistic effect to enhance product toxicity resulting in pest mortality (Gahukar, 2013). Cow urine is used by the farmers as an effective indigenous method to control crop pests (Banjo et al., 2003) and spraying of the cow urine has been recommended to minimize the harmful effects of synthetic pesticides (Chauhan and Singhal, 2006). From the above discussion it has become clear that applying herbal pesticide in recommended dose with cow urine can prevent insects and pests infestation on lemon plants. However, it will work more efficiently when Integrated Pest Management (IPM) method is used in a scientific and systematic manner with application of biopesticide regularly.

Conclusion

The biopesticide has shown deterrent action against *Diaphorina citri, Phyllocnistis citrella, Aleurocanthus woglumi, Dialeurodes citri, Papilio demoleus, Anoplophora versteegi* and other pests, reducing the damage of lemon plants and the fruits. Among three different dilutions, biopesticide @10% concentration spraying at one week interval is the best solution to control white fly, trunk borer, leaf miner and other insect population as compared to 1% and 5% and untreated plant. Therefore, lemon cultivators are urged to adopt the use of biopesticide for controlling lemon diseases instead of chemical pesticide.

Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to the Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India for financial support providing through Biotech Hub project. Thanks are due to the Principal and review committee members of Institutional Biotech Hub, Science College, Kokrajhar for their constant support. We are thankful to Phwjwngsa Baro for his help received during the manuscript development. Assistance from M. B. Newar, Lemon cultivator of Chandamari village, Kokrajhar district and Amit Tati, Nurseryman, SCK are also acknowledged.

Reference

Banjo AD, Lawal OA, Fapojuwo OE and Songonuga EA. 2003. Farmer's knowledge and perception of horticultural insect pest problems in southwestern Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2(11): 434-437.

Bastiaans L, Paolini R and Baumann DT. 2008. Focus on ecological weed management: what is hindering adoption? Weed Research. 48(6): 481-491.

Bhattacharya SC and Dutta S. 1956. Classification of Citrus fruits of Assam. In: Scientific Monograph No. 20. ICAR, New Delhi. Pp: 14-47.

Chandler D, Bailey AS, Tatchell GM, Davidson G, Greaves J and Grant WP. 2011. The development, regulation and use of biopesticides for integrated pest management. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 366(1573): 1987-1998.

Chaudhari SV. 2014. Management of insect pest by using herbal plants extracts. Journal of International Academic Research for Multidisciplinary. 2(2): 453-459.

Cheremisinoff PN and Rosenfeld PE. 2010. Hand Book of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Agrochemical Industry. William Andrew, Oxford. Pp: 25-213.

Dubey RC. 2014. Advanced Biotechnology. S. Sand & Company Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. Pp: 8-10.

Elbeshehy EKF, Metwali EM and Almaghrabi OA. 2015. Antiviral activity of *Thujaorientalis* extracts against watermelon mosaic virus (WMV) on *Citrullus lanatus*. Saudi Journal of Biological Cciences. 22(2): 211-219.

EI Shafie HAF and Almahy AAM. 2012. Effect of storage conditions and duration on the potency of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) seeds as a home-made insecticide, Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America. 3(10): 385-390.

Gahukar RT. 2013. Cow urine: a potential biopesticide. Indian Journal of Entomology. 75(3): 212-216. Gogoi M. 2021. Assam lemon: A magical fruit. BioNE: 19. Gogoi M, Sapurna PJ, Karmakar R and Borgoyary M. 2024. Lemon Cultivation: Its Scope and Future Prospects. Purbayon Publication, Guwahati. Pp: 11-42.

Gullan PJ & Cranston PS. 2014. The Insects –An Outline of Entomology. Willey Blackwell Pbl., New Jersy. Pp: 289-488. Gunawan TC and Sulistijorini SD. 2016. Review: Fitokimia genus *Baccaurea* spp. Bioeksperimen. 2(2): 96-110. Gupta AK, Suthar J, Muralikrishnan S, Patel K, Chauhan V, Koradia D, Rawal A, Pastakia A, Shukla S and Chand VS. 1997. Farmers Innovations for Sustainable Resource Management and Conservation of Biological Diversity. Published in the proceedings of the International Symposium on Food Security & Innovations: Successes and Lessons Learned at University of Hohenheim (Eds. Franz Heidhues/Andrea Fadani), Frankfurt, Berlin. Pp: 97-112.

Ingle KP, Deshmukh AG, Padole DA and Dudhare MS. 2017. Bioefficacy of crude extracts from *Jatropha curcas* against *Spodoptera litura*. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 5(1): 36-38.

Jain SK. 1968. Medicinal Plants. National Book Trust, New Delhi. Pp: 31-33.

Kgasudi BK and Mantswe M. 2020. Cow urine: A plant growth enhancer, bio fertilizer, pesticide and antifungal agent. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 9(2): 1294-1298.

Koleva-Gudeva L, Mitrev S, Maksimova V and Spasov D. 2013. Content of capsaicin extracted from hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* ssp. microcarpum L.) and its use as an ecopesticide. Hemijskaindustrija. 67(4): 671-675.

Kumari KA, KumarKR and Rao CN. 2014. Adverse effects of chemical fertilizers and pesticides on human health and environment. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 3: 150-151.

Kumari N, Rani P, Thakur Y and Chandla VK. 2010. Effectiveness of plant extracts against white grubs, *Brahmina coriacea* (Hope) (Scarabaeidae: Coleoptera). Indian Journal of Entomology. 72(3): 233-235. **Lengai GM, Muthomi JW and Mbega ER. 2020.** Phytochemical activity and role of botanical pesticides in pest management for sustainable agricultural crop production. Scientific African. 7, e00239.

Neeraj S, Kumar GS, Ram S and Kumar V. 2017. Evaluation of nematicidal activity of ethanolic extracts of medicinal plants to *Meloidogyne incognita* (kofoid and white) chitwood under lab conditions. Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences. 5(1): 827-831.

Purwar JP and Yadav SR. 2003. Field efficacy of pest controlling agents from different origins against tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* on soybean. Indian Journal of Entomology. 65(3): 382-385.

Rahman SS, Rahman M, Khan MMR, Begum SA, Roy B and Shahed SF. 2007. Ethanolic extract of melgota (*Macaranga postulata*) for repellency, insecticidal activity against rice weevil (*Sitophilus oryzae*). African Journal of Biotechnology. 6(4): 379-383.

Ramani HR, Garaniya NH and Golakiya BA. 2012. Biochemical constitutes of calf, pregnant and milking gir cow urine's at weekly interval. Research & Reviews: A Journal of Dairy Science and Technology. 1(2): 1-6.

Raja S and Ramya I. 2016. A comprehensive review on *Polygonum glabrum*. International Journal of Phytomedicine. 8:457-467.

Rehman MA, Ali S, Afzal MBS, Khan MN and Ali M. 2020. Efficacy of chemicals and botanical extracts to control citrus canker on Kinnow in Sargodha region. Journal of Innovative Sciences. 6(2): 101-107. Salhi N, Mohammed S, Terzi SA, Brahmi VI, Ghedairi N and Bissati S. 2017. Antifungal activity of aqueous extracts of some dominant Algerian medicinal plants. BioMed Research International. 1:1-6.

Sarma D, Kashyap G and Gogoi I. 2019. Bioassay of some aqueous plant extracts against leaf and fruit scarring beetle, *Nodostoma subcostatum* (Jacoby). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 7(1): 1661-1663.

Sharma OP. 2009. Plant Taxonomy. McGrew Hill Education (India) Private Limited, New Delhi. Pp: 397-490.

Saxena B and Sayyed RZ. 2018. Botanical insecticides effectively control chickpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. Environmental Sustainability. 1: 295-301.

Schmutterer H. 1995. The neem tree *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. (In Schuttener, H. 1995). The Neem Tree, Source of Unique Natural Products for Integrated Pest Management, Medicine, Industry and Other Purposes. VCH Publishers, Weinheim. 244-259.

Seth SD and Sharma B. 2004. Medicinal plants in India. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 120(1): 9-11.

Tangavelou AC and Viswanathan MB. 2017. Antiinflammatory Activity of the Epidermal Glandular Extract of *Cyclosorus parasiticus* in Experimental Animals. Journal of Biologically Active Products from Nature. 7(3): 245-250.